

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/590,661	HAYASHI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> David J. Steadman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1656

**All Participants:**

(1) David J. Steadman. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Tu A. Phan. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 April 2009

**Time:** approximately 3 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *draft examiner's amendment.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*NONE*

Claims discussed:

*NONE*

Prior art documents discussed:

*NONE*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A draft examiner's amendment was provided to applicant (see attached copy) and applicant accepted the claims in the draft amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David J. Steadman/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)